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Note: in this lecture "function" almost always refers to a mathematical function, as in $f(x)=x+101$

Sections 6.1, 6.2, 6.4 (optional), 6.6 (not 6.6.3)

## Algorithms

- Note that two very different algorithms can solve the same problem
- bubble sort vs. quicksort
- List insert in an array-based implementation vs. a linked-list-based implementation.
- How do we know which is faster (more efficient in time)?
- Why not just run both on same data and compare?


## Algorithms

- An algorithm is a clearly specified set of instructions a computer follows to solve a problem.
- An algorithm should be
- correct
- efficient: not use too much time or space
- Algorithm analysis: determining how much time and space a given algorithm will consume.2


## Algorithms

- Could measure the time each one takes to execute, but that is subject to various external factors
- multitasking operating system
- speed of computer
- language solution is written in (compiler)
- Need a way to quantify the efficiency of an algorithm independently of execution platform, language, or compiler


## Estimating execution time

- The amount of time it takes an algorithm to execute is a function of the input size.
- We use the number of statements executed (given a certain input size) as an approximation of the execution time.
- Count up statements executed for a program or algorithm as a function of the amount of data
- For a list of length N , it may require executing $3 \mathrm{~N}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~N}+125$ statements to sort it using a given algorithm.


## Counting statements

- Each single statement (assignment, output) counts as 1 statement
- A boolean expression (in an if stmt or loop) is 1 statement
- A function call is equal to the number of statements executed by the function.
- A loop is basically the number of times the loop executes times the number of statements executed in the loop.
- usually counted in terms of N , the input size.


## Comparing functions

- Is $3 N+4$ good? Is it better (less) than
$-5 N+5$ ?
$-N+1,000$ ? for all values of $N$ ?
$-\mathrm{N}^{2}+\mathrm{N}+2$ ?
- Hard to say without graphing them.
- Even then, are the differences significant?
- int result = 0; (1) - result += values[i]; (N)
- int $\mathrm{i}=0$; (1) - return result; (1)
$-\mathrm{i}<$ numValues $(\mathrm{N}+1) \quad$ Total $=3 \mathrm{~N}+4$,
( N )


## Comparing functions

- When comparing these functions in algorithm analysis
- We are concerned with very large values of $N$.
- We tend to ignore all but the "dominant" term.

At large values of $\mathrm{N}, 3 \mathrm{~N}$ dominates the 4 in $3 \mathrm{~N}+4$

- We also tend to ignore the constant factor (3).
- We want to know which function is growing faster (getting bigger for bigger values of N ).


## Comparing functions

- For a given function expressing the time it takes to execute a given algorithm in terms of N ,
- we ignore all but the dominant term and put it in one of the function classifications.
- Which classifications are more efficient?.
- The ones that grow more slowly.


## Function classifications

| - Constant | $f(x)=b$ | $O(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| - Logarithmic | $f(x)=\log _{b}(x)$ | $O(\log n)$ |
| - Linear | $f(x)=a x+b$ | $O(n)$ |
| - Linearithmic | $f(x)=x \log _{b}(x)$ | $O(n \log n)$ |
| - Quadratic | $f(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c$ | $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ |
| - Exponential | $f(x)=b^{x}$ | $O\left(2^{n}\right)$ |

Last column is "big Oh" notation

Comparing functions


We want small Time value for large N values

Data size ( N )
12

## Comparing functions

- Graph 2



## Formal Definition of Big O

"Order F of N "

- $T(N)$ is $O(F(N)$ ) if there are positive constants c and $N_{0}$ such that $T(N)<=c F(N)$ when $N>=N_{0}$
- $N$ is the size of the data set the algorithm works on
- $T(N)$ is the function that characterizes the actual running time of the algorithm (like $3 \mathrm{~N}+4$ )
- $F(N)$ is a function that characterizes an upper bounds on $T(N)$. It is a limit on the running time of the algorithm. (The typical Big O functions)
- $c$ and $N_{0}$ are constants. We pick them to make the definition work.


## Comparing functions

- Assume N is 100,000, processing speed is 1,000,000,000 operations per second

| Function | Running Time |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{30086}$ years |
| $\mathrm{N}^{4}$ | 3171 years |
| $\mathrm{N}^{3}$ | 11.6 days |
| $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ | 10 seconds |
| $\mathrm{N} \log \mathrm{N}$ | 0.0017 seconds |
| N | 0.0001 seconds |
| square root of N | $3.2 \times 10^{-7}$ seconds |
| $\log \mathrm{N}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-8}$ seconds |

## Example using definition

- Given $T(N)=3 N+4$, prove it is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$.
$-F(N)$ in the definition is $N$
- We need to choose constants c and $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ to make $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{N})<=\mathrm{cF}(\mathrm{N})$ when $\mathrm{N}>=\mathrm{N}_{0}$ true.
- Lets try $\mathrm{c}=4$ and $\mathrm{N}_{0}=5$.
- Graph on next slide shows:
$3 \mathrm{~N}+4$ is less than 4 N whenever N is bigger than 5


## Demonstrating $3 \mathrm{~N}+4$ is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$


horizontal axis: N , number of elements in data set

## Example 1:

```
bool findNum(double[] values, int numValues, double num)
{
    for(int i = 0; i < numValues; i++
        if(values[i] == num)
            return true;
    return false;
```

- $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{N})$ is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{N})$ ) for what function F ?
- best case?
- average case?
- worst case?


## Best, Average, Worst case analyses

Because data values may affect execution time.

- Best case: fewest possible statements executed - example: linear search for first element in list.
- Average case: number of statements executed for most cases of input, or normal cases
- example: linear search for element in middle of list
- Worst case: maximum number of statements that could be executed
- example: linear search for last element in list, or an element not in list.


## Example 2:

```
Matrix Matrix::add(Matrix rhs)
{ Matrix sum = new Matrix(numRows(), numCols(), 0);
    for(int row = 0; row < numRows(); row++)
        for(int col = 0; col < numCols(); col++)
            sum.myMatrix[row][col] = myMatrix[row][col]
            + rhs.myMatrix[row][col];
        return sum;
}
```

- $T(N)$ is $O(F(N))$ for what function $F$ ?


## Example 3:

public void selectionSort(double[] data, int numValues) \{ int $\mathrm{n}=$ numValues;
int min;
double temp;
for(int $i=0 ; i<n$; i++
\{ min = i;
for(int $j=i+1 ; ~ j<n ; ~ j++)$
if(data[j] < data[min])
min = j;
temp $=$ data[i];
data[i] = data[min]
data[min] = temp;
// end of outer loop, i
\}
Note: $1+2+3+\ldots+\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}^{*}(\mathrm{~N}+1) / 2$

- $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{N})$ is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{N}))$ for what function F ?


## Example 5:

- Insert (and remove) for List_3358
- implemented using arrays (in class: see below)
- implemented using linked lists
- These operations are $\mathrm{O}\left(\_\right)$?

```
void List 3358::remove() {
    assert(!atEOL() && !isEmpty())
    for (int i=cursor; i < currentSize-1; i++)
        values[i] = values[i+1];
    currentSize--;
    if (isEmpty())
        cursor = EOL;
```


## Example 4:

```
public int foo(int[] list, int length){
    int total = 0;
    for(int i = 0; i < length; i++)
        total += countDups(list[i], list);
    }
return total;
}
// method countDups is O(N) where N is the
// length of the array it is passed
```

- $T(N)$ is $O(F(N))$ for what function $F$ ?

