

CS3398 Term paper evaluation

Name:

Paper Title:

Grade:

Element	Weak	OK	Solid	Strong
Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • topic (in SW Engineering) • breadth • current • correct length 		Too short	Goes beyond textbook	
Organization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • clearly states main idea (a claim) • paragraphs support main idea • sentences support paragraph topic 	has little or no organization and paragraphs may lack coherence, main ideas or relevance	uses lists rather than logic for organization and transition, paragraphs may lack coherence	shows logical progression of ideas. each paragraph relates to the central idea	uses appropriate logical structure and some transitional devices,
Explanations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • clear • appropriate level of detail • complete 	lacks central idea, unable to explain ideas	presents ideas in general terms, assumes too much of reader	clearly states central idea, connects other ideas to it	sophistication of thought, ideas are well developed
Evaluation of approaches <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • adequate number • compare, pros/cons • quality of argument 	No evaluation, nothing to compare	Has things to compare, but poor arguments	has things to compare, does decent job of eval/comparing	solid methods and solid comparison/evaluation
Evidence of Research <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • good sources • citations and reference list managed well 	fails to use sources	shows basic comprehension of sources, uses wrong format	understands sources, but does not evaluate critically	critical evaluation of sources
Grammar, Clarity, (words+sentences) Formatting	grammatical errors impede understanding	some grammatical errors	a few grammatical errors	almost free of grammatical errors

Length: (goal: 2000-2400)

Specific notes:

1.